100

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

	<u> LAINT</u>	FILE JAN 07	23 1110
Defendants. :			
ADT LLC and : ADT LLC PROTECTION ONE SEVERANCE : PLAN :	No:	20	140
v. :	CIVIL A	CTION	
Plaintiff,			
MORGAN HARRIS :			

A. <u>PARTIES</u>

- KATE BARKMAN, Clark By_____Dep. Clerk
- 1. Plaintiff Morgan Harris is an adult individual and former employee of ADT LLC.
- 2. Defendant ADT LLC ("ADT") is a Delaware corporation with offices located at 1501 Yamato Road, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

B. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claim under ERISA for denied benefits pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 1132(a)(1)(B) and 28 U.S.C. 1331.
- 4. Venue is properly invoked in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391 because Plaintiff accrued his severance benefit under the Severance Plan while living in Pennsylvania, and the Plan has significant contacts in this district with participants who reside here.
- Plaintiff exhausted his administrative remedies pursuant to the terms of the
 Severance Plan.

C. FACTS

6. Mr. Harris was employed by ADT from September 1, 2012 through August 1, 2019 in the position of Senior Director Enterprise Solutions.

- 7. ADT LLC provides security services to individuals and commercial entities.
- 8. On or about July 17, 2019, ADT terminated Mr. Harris's employment with the company effective August 1, 2019, and, in conjunction therewith, presented a severance agreement to Harris.
- 9. The severance agreement was presented to Mr. Harris pursuant to the ADT LLC Protection One Severance Plan. ("Severance Plan").
- 10. ADT established the Severance Plan for the purpose of providing severance benefits to certain employees of ADT.
- 11. ADT states in the Severance Plan that the Severance Plan constitutes a formal employee welfare benefit plan under the Employee Retirement Income Act of 1974 ("ERISA").
- 12. Harris is a participant pursuant to the terms of the Severance Plan and as set forth in the proposed severance agreement.
- 13. Pursuant to Section 3.01 of the Severance Plan, the amount of severance pay (severance benefit) payable to a participant shall be calculated based upon the participant/employee's years of service corresponding to the employee's job classification and pay grade level.
- 14. The severance benefit Harris is entitled to receive pursuant to the Severance Plan is in the amount of \$67,166.67 ("Severance Benefit").
- 15. Section 2.03 of the Severance Plan states, in pertinent part: "no Benefits shall be due or paid under the Plan to any Employee, unless the Employee executes (and does not rescind) a written waiver and release, in a form prescribed by the Company, of any and all claims...".

- 16. The severance agreement presented to Harris included not only a written waiver and release but also included significant restrictive covenants.
- 17. Paragraphs 3(b) and (c) of the proposed severance agreement included a non-solicitation clause and a non-competition clause, respectively.
- 18. Pursuant to Paragraph 3(c) of the severance agreement Harris would be precluded from working in his chosen field for one (1) year following the date of termination.
- 19. There is no language in the Severance Plan that requires an employee to enter into a post-employment restrictive covenant in order to receive the promised Severance Benefit.
- 20. Promptly after receiving the proposed severance agreement, Harris informed ADT that he would not agree to the restrictive covenants contained in the severance agreement but would agree to the release and waiver, as required by the Severance Plan.
- 21. ADT refused to remove the restrictive covenants from the severance agreement and refused to pay Harris the Severance Benefit.
- 22. ADT also communicated that the Severance Plan is an ERISA Plan and any claim regarding the determination of benefits must be made to the Plan Administrator.
- 23. On or about September 18, 2019, Harris submitted a timely written claim of the determination of benefits under the Severance Plan to Amelia Pulliam as well as to outside counsel for ADT, pursuant to Section 7.01 of the Severance Plan ("Claim").
- 24. Section 7.02 of the Severance Plan dictates that the Plan Administrator shall have 90 days to deny such Claim and was required to notify Harris in writing of the denial of the Claim.
 - 25. ADT failed to respond to the Claim in any manner.
 - 26. Harris has exhausted administrative remedies, to the extent any apply.

- 27. To the extent the Severance Plan fails to qualify as a formal employee welfare benefit plan under ERISA, ADT owes Harris the Severance Benefit pursuant to the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law.
- 28. Despite repeated demands, ADT without justification and in bad faith, has failed and refused to pay Harris the monies due and owing to him pursuant to the Severance Plan.

COUNT I IMPROPER DENIAL OF BENEFITS PURSUANT TO THE EMPLOYMENT RETIREMENT INCOME SECURITY ACT

- 29. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 30. Defendant ADT violated the terms of the Severance Plan by failing to pay the Severance Benefit to Plaintiff.
- 31. Defendant ADT violated the terms of the Severance Plan by requiring Plaintiff submit to restrictive covenants, which are not included in the terms of the Severance Plan.
- 32. In accordance with the Severance Plan's terms, and ERISA, Plaintiff is entitled to full payment of the Severance Benefit.

COUNT II VIOLATION OF THE PENNSYLVANIA WAGE PAYMENT AND COLLECTION LAW

- 33. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 28 of this Complaint as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 34. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an "employee" of ADT as defined by the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law ("WPCL"), 43 P.S. §260.1 et seq.
- 35. At all times material hereto, ADT was Plaintiff's "employer" as defined by the WPCL.

- 36. The foregoing severance amounts due and owing to Plaintiff constitute "wages" as defined by the WPCL.
- 37. To date, Defendant ADT has failed and refused to pay Plaintiff the wages to which he is contractually entitled under the Severance Plan.
- 38. Defendant's refusal to pay Plaintiff is without justification and in bad faith, thereby entitling Plaintiff to liquidated damages in the amount of twenty-five percent (25%) of the total wages due and owing to him under the Severance Plan plus attorney's fees pursuant to the WPCL.

COUNT III BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 39. Plaintiff incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 28 of the Complaint as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 40. Defendant ADT entered into a binding and enforceable agreement, the Severance Plan.
- 41. The terms and conditions of the Severance Plan require Defendant to make timely severance payment to Plaintiff.
- 42. Despite repeated demands by Plaintiff for payment of the severance, Defendant has failed, refused and neglected to tender payment in full and presently owes Plaintiff the unpaid amounts set forth above.
- 43. The failure and refusal of Defendant to tender payment in full as required under the Severance Plan constitutes a breach of contract.
- 44. As a direct and proximate result of the breach of contract by Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered substantial losses including, but not limited to unpaid severance.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Morgan Harris, respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Enter a declaratory judgement that Plaintiff is entitled to Severance Benefits under the terms of the Severance Plan;
- B. Require the Severance Plan to issue the Severance Benefit immediately;
- C. Grant to Plaintiff all penalties, costs, disbursements and reasonable attorneys' fees relating to his claim for benefits;
- D. Grant Plaintiff prejudgment interest;
- E. In the alternative, require ADT LLC to pay Plaintiff the Severance Benefit pursuant to the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law along with liquidated damages, costs, disbursements and reasonable attorneys' fees;
- F. And grant Plaintiff such additional relief as this Court deems appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

LAMB McERLANE PC

Date: 1/6/20

Mary-Ellen H. Allen, I.D No. 83885

24 E. Market Street

West Chester, PA 19381

610-430-8000

Attorney for Plaintiff

Morgan Harris

The IS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of codini. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the runners of institution the civil decided short.

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	ORM.)		•				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDAN	TS					
Morgan Harris				ADT LLC and	d ADT	LLC Pro	tection One S	Severance Pla	an/	
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	Chester (ISES)		County of Reside		(IN U.S. PL	d Defendant AINTIFF CASES OF CASES, USE TO CASES, USE TO CASES)F	pro-se semplestrag
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 1	tildiant and Talunhama Non-bo			Attorneys (If Kna			/			
Mary-Ellen H. Alle	•	7		, thorneys ty to						
24 E. Market Street	et. West Chester, PA	19381 (610)430-80	000		i/		١			
II. BAŞIS OF JURIŞDI	CTION Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP O		INCIPA	PARTIES			
O 1 U.S. Government ; Planatiff	3 Federal Question U.S. Government	Nos a Party)	Citiz	(For Diversity Cases Of en of This State	ртг РТг В 1		Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		r Defenda PTF G 4	#() DEF © 4
Defendant	Oiversity Andicate Citizensh	ip of Pariies in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State		2 /2	Incorporated and it of Business In a		5	Ö 3
	\bigcup			en or Subject of a	٥	0 3	Foreign Nation		5 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		lly) PRTS	1 60	ORFEITURE/PENALT	TV I		here for: Nature o	OTHERS		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	PERSONAL INJURY (7) 310 Airplane (2) 315 Airplane Product	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	Y 0 62	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8 90 Other		J 422 Appea J 423 Withd	1 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Cla ☐ 376 Qui Tam 3729(a))	ims Act (31 USC	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault Libel &	D 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical			· -	PROPER	TY RIGHTS	J 400 State Rea		nent
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	1	Personal Injury Product Liability 7 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability					t - Abbreviated Drug Application	☐ 430 Banks an ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportati ☐ 470 Racketee	d Banking ce ion	ed and
151 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	PERSONAL PROPER ☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 37: Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage	O 71	LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Managenieni Relations 10 Railway Labor Act		861 HIA (862 Black	Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	☐ 480 Consume ☐ 485 Telephon Protectio ☐ 490 Cable/Sa ☐ 850 Securities Exchange	e Consum in Act t TV s/Commode	dities/
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	\mathcal{A}	I Family and Medical Leave Act	L			☐ 890 Other Sta ☐ 891 Agricultu	eral Acts	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lesse & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS 1 440 Other Civil Rights 1 441 Voting 1 442 Employment 1 443 Housing/ Accommodations 2 445 Amer w/Disabilities Employment 446 Amer w/Disabilities Other 1 448 Education	PRISONER PETITION Habear Cerpus: ☐ 461 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence ☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ Other ☐ 540 Mandanus & Oth ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 556 Civil Detainee ☐ Conditions of Confinement	3	O Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Note: Naturalization Applications		870 Taxes or De 871 IRS -	LTAX SUITS (U.S. Plainteff fendant) -Third Party SC 7609	☐ 893 Environm ☐ 895 Freedom Act ☐ 896 Arbitratic ☐ 899 Administ Act/Revi Agency I ☐ 950 Constitut State Sta	of Informative Pro- ew or App Decision ionality of	ation cedure seal of
V. ORIGIN (Place on "X" is	One Box Only)	<u> </u>								
	te Court	Appeliate Court	Reo	(spe	nother [recify)	District	O 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	-	Multidist Litigation Direct Fil	n -
CAUSE OF ACTION	N Bnef description of ca			Do net cite jurisdictions	ei statuta	es unless div	ersity)		_	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND S			HECK YES only JRY DEMAND:	,	complain	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions)	JUDGE				DOCKE	I'NUMBER	L		
DATE 01/06/2020 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY O	OF RECORD				JAN .	-7 2	2020
	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDG	38		MAG JUD	GE		



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

20

140

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 870 V	Vest Street Road, West Chester, PA 19382
	01 Yamato Road, Boca Raton, FL 33431
<u> </u>	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction.	Chester County, Pennsylvania
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	•
Case Number 5	
Civil cases are deemed related when Yas is answered to a	iny of the following questions:
Is this case related to property included in an earlier previously terminated action in this court?	numbered suit pending or within one year. Yes No
2 Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow pending or within one year previously terminated ac	
Does this case involve the validity or infringement on numbered case pending or within one year previously.	
Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, so case filed by the same individual?	
	is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in
DATE: 01/06/2020	Me 4 4 4 8 83885
	Attorney-al-Law (Fro-Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. W (if applicable)
CIVIL: (Place a vin one category only)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and Ali C FELA	Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4 Marine Personal Injury
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust Patent Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights Habeas Corpus Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8 Products Liability - Asbestos 9 All other Diversity Cases (Please specify).
10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8 Products Liability - Asbestos 9 All other Diversity Cases (Please specify). ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION
10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) (The effect of the	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify); 7. Products Liability — Asbestos 9 All other Diversity Cases (Please specify). ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION ins certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)
10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) (The effect of the	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8 Products Liability - Asbestos 9 All other Diversity Cases (Please specify). ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION ins certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.) set of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify
10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) (The effect of the	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION is certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.) at to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case
In Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) (The effect of the second	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION is certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.) at to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case
In Social Security Review Cases All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) (The effect of the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of integral of the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of integral of the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of integral of the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of integral of the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of integral of the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of integral of the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of integral of the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of integral of the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of integral of the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of integral of the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of integral of the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of integral of the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), the exceed the secur	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION is certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.) set of record or pro-se plaintiff, do hereby certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Morgan Harris

CIVIL ACTION

20

ADT LLC and ADT LLC Protection

NO.

One Severance Plan In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS;

(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.

()

(b) Social Security - Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.

(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.

(d) Asbestos - Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.

(e) Special Management - Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases)

()

(f) Standard Management - Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.

Morgan Harris

Attorney for

610-430-8000

610-696-6668

mallen@lambmcerlane.com

Telephone

FAX Number

E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02